BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

M.A. No. 74 of 2014 and M.A. No. 75 of 2014

In

Original Application No. 04 of 2013 (Suo Moto)

And

M.A. No. 737 of 2013

In

Original Application No. 04 of 2013 (Suo Moto)

And

M.A. No. 568 of 2013

In

Original Application No. 04 of 2013 (Suo Moto)

And

M.A. No. 186 of 2013

In

Original Application No. 04 of 2013 (Suo Moto)

And

Original Application No. 04 of 2013 (Suo Moto)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sonya Ghosh Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Amicus Curiae: Mr. Raj Panjwani, Sr. Advocate, Mr. Aagney Sail,

Adv. and Ms. Sonya Ghosh, Applicant

Applicant in M.A. No. 75 of 2014: Mr. Noor Alam, Advocate

Respondent Nos. 1&2: Mr. Devinder Pratap Singh and Mr. Vineet

Malik, Adv.

Respondent No. 4: Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Advocate and Mr.

Vineet Malik, Advocate

Respondent No. 5: Mr. S.S. Shamshery, AAG and Mr. Varun Punia

and Aditya Sharma, Advocates

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Item Nos. 8 to 12	
April 28, 2014	Learned counsel appearing for State of Haryana
	submits that the matter is listed before the Hon'ble Supreme
	Court of India for considering effect of Notification under
	Section 4 and 5 of Punjab Land Preservation Act, 1900 and
	needs times to take instructions as to what appropriate steps
	the State has taken with regard to 566 violators of Aravali
	Notification.
	We direct the State Governments of Haryana and
	Rajasthan to take a clear view as to whether the violators of
	the Aravali Notification can be directed to restore the Forest
	areas and the directions passed to demolish the structures.

